UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,015	10/03/2006	Christophe Maerky	. 4005-0260PUS1 5273	
2292 7590 07/26/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINÉR.	
PO BOX 747		BARRERA,	BARRERA, RAMON M	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2832	
				•
		·	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/26/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/540,015	MAERKY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ramon M. Barrera	2832			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 June 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the orest of the orest of the orest ore declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	nte			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/22/05,11/30/06.	6) Other:	αιστι Αμμικαιιστ			

Application/Control Number: 10/540,015 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toyota(JP2002130510) in view of Isuzu(JP08004546), both references cited on applicant's IDS.

Toyota disclosed a dual-coil electromagnetic valve actuator having a permanent magnet 38 and an actuator member 24 movable between two extreme positions under the effect of a resilient member (27,28) and two electromagnets each comprising a core having a T-shaped first core portion 33 with a base connected to a central branch with a coil 31 disposed thereabout, the first core portion being placed in a U-shaped second core portion 34 having a base connected to outer branches which extend parallel to the central branch of the first core portion, a permanent magnet 38 being interposed between the base of the first core portion and the base of the second core portion.

Toyota did not disclose wherein the base of the T-shaped first core portion extends so a to co-operate with the outer branches of the U-shaped second core portion to present airgaps of size much smaller than a distance between the base of the T-shaped first core portion and the base of the U-shaped second core portion.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,015

Art Unit: 2832

Isuzu disclosed a flux shunting core extension 13 between two core portions for forming a gap much smaller than the distance between the core portions bridged by the permanent magnet for the purpose of preventing demagnetization of the permanent magnet by the coil. Since Isuzu and Toyota are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Isuzu would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Toyota. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to employ flux shunting core extensions in Toyota for the purpose of preventing demagnetization of the permanent magnet by the coil.

Page 3

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The two cited references disclose flux shunting core portions.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramon M. Barrera whose telephone number is (571) 272-1987. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 11 to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/540,015 Page 4

Art Unit: 2832

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ramon M Barrera
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2832

rmb